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## 1 EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

This report has three main objectives:

- To determine the inter-practice variation in achievement of 12 indicators for coronary heart disease care using the nGMS measures in the last quarter of 2004 (October 2004 to Dec 2004)
- To describe quarterly trends in achievement of each indicator for the last 3 years (January 2002 to December 2004).
- To report on the pattern of usage of the newly introduced exception codes


## The key findings are:

- All practices were able to satisfy Indicator 1, the requirement to have a CHD register.
- The prevalence of coronary heart disease in our study is higher than that in other primary care studies and has increased slightly over the 12 quarters studied in the report.
- Indicator 2 measures referral of new cases of CHD for exercise testing or specialist assessment and shows a constant rate of $40.0 \%$ in recent quarters. There is a large variation between practices.
- A group of indicators look at recording of smoking status (Indicator 3), blood pressure (Indicator 5) and cholesterol (Indicator 7). The recording of smoking status has been rising steadily and significantly throughout the time period of two years, almost reaching $92 \%$ in the past 15 months by the end of 2004 . There is a less dramatic increase in blood pressure recording because the baseline in early 2002 was so much higher ( $87.5 \%$ in the past 15 months) but it had nearly reached $96 \%$ by the end of 2004. The recording of cholesterol levels is more analogous to smoking, with a sustained rise from $62 \%$ at the beginning of 2002 to over $82 \%$ in the last quarter.
- Another group of indicators concern preventive action: Indicator 4 measures smoking advice to smokers; Indicator 9 concerns the taking of aspirin; Indicator 10 looks at beta-blocker prescribing; and Indicator 11 is of ACE inhibitor prescribing in patients with a new myocardial infarction. While there was a surge in the recording of smoking advice given starting in the April 2003 quarter and tailing off towards the end of 2004, by which time it was nearly $93 \%$ in the previous 15 months, this was not seen with the other indicators in this group.
- Indicator 12 shows a general trend up from $78 \%$ to nearer to $90 \%$ in influenza vaccination.
- Indicator 6 reflects level of control of blood pressure; and Indicator 8 looks at control of cholesterol. The percentage of patients with blood pressure of $150 / 90$ or less has been increasing gradually - almost linearly - over the two years. The percent of patients with a cholesterol of $5 \mathrm{mmol} / \mathrm{l}$ or less has been rising linearly (except for a fall off in the last quarter) suggesting a genuine improvement in clinical care.
- The use of exemption codes is rising, but remains at a low level for most of them. The exceptions are: the cholesterol exemption code (expiring) is becoming more common reaching $5.5 \%$ in the last quarter; salicylate contraindication codes are widely used and over $12 \%$ now have an expiring salicylate contraindication recorded; and over $9 \%$ of patients have a contraindication to influenza vaccination recorded.
- Overall, there is a trend to improvement across the large majority of indicators and the variation between practices is narrowing even over the relatively short time frame of this analysis.
- The improvements seen in blood pressure control and cholesterol levels are highly likely to reflect genuine improvements in clinical care. The scale of the improvement, even over three years, is likely to have an impact on clinical outcomes for patients, including risk of further myocardial infarction and death. These two indicators suggest that, for whatever reason, primary care is likely to be saving significantly more lives than three years ago and this warrants further study.
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## 3 OBJECTIVES

This report has three main objectives:

- To determine the inter-practice variation in achievement of 12 indicators for coronary heart disease care using the nGMS measures in the last quarter of 2004 (October 2004 to Dec 2004)
- To describe quarterly trends in achievement of each indicator for the last 3 years (January 2002 to December 2004).
- To report on the pattern of usage of the newly introduced exception codes


## 4 METHOD

### 4.1 Version of database used

The $5^{\text {th }}$ national version of the QRESEARCH database was used for this analysis. This database contains data until 31st December 2004.

### 4.2 Study period

The study period covered twelve quarters. The first quarter was January to March 2002 and the12th quarter was October to December 2004.

### 4.3 Practice inclusion criteria

To be included in the analyses, practices had to have EMIS installed before the first day of each study period and have complete data for the each quarter.

### 4.4 Patient inclusion criteria

In order to be included in the analysis, patients had to be registered with the practice on the first day of the relevant quarter.

### 4.5 Case definition for coronary heart disease

Prevalent cases of coronary heart disease were defined by the presence of a Read code for coronary heart disease (G3 to G3401; G342-G366; G38 to G3z) in their record prior to the end of the analysis period. This is the definition used in the nGMS contract.

### 4.6 Definition of the quality indicators

We used the "New GMS Contract QOF Implementation Dataset and Business Rules coronary heart disease Indicator set" (Version 5.0 release date 27th September 2004). Some of current Read codes [particularly the 'exception codes'] were not in existence or possibly not used prior to mid 2004.

### 4.7 General exclusions

In general patients are excluded from the denominator for each indicator if they were newly registered with the practice (i.e. registered within the preceding 3 months) or if they were newly diagnosed with coronary heart disease (i.e. diagnosed within the preceding three months) or if they have a Read code including an exception to coronary heart disease reporting code within the previous 15 months. However, if the patients happen to have the required measurement then they could appear in the numerator. There are also some exclusions specific to different indicators. This means that the eligible population for each indicator varies. A summary of the use of each individual exclusions is shown in the appendix.

## 5 RESULTS

### 5.1 Study population

There were 469 practices from the QRESEARCH database ( ${ }^{\text {th }}$ version) with complete data for the three year study period which were therefore included in this analysis. There were 3.37 million registered patients on $1^{\text {st }}$ January 2002 rising to 3.42 million on $1^{\text {st }}$ October 2004.

### 5.2 Coronary heart disease indicator 1: \% able with a register

Indication CHD1: The practice can produce a register of patients with established coronary heart disease. No numerator or denominator is required.
Specific exclusions: none - all practices are eligible for inclusion in this indicator
All practices were able to identify some patients with coronary heart disease and were therefore able to satisfy coronary heart disease indicator one. The next graph shows the inter-practice variation in prevalence of coronary heart disease per 1000 registered patients in the last quarter of 2004. Tabular data are available in the appendix (Table 1).

There were 125,000 patients with a diagnosis of coronary heart disease in a registered population of 3.4 million. The practice median prevalence was 37.1 per 1,000 registered patients (inter quartile range 28 to 45 ).

Inter-practice variation in prevalence of CHD per 1000 registered patients
(CHD indicator 1, Oct-Dec04)

copyright QRESEARCH 2003-5 (database version 5)

### 5.3 Coronary heart disease Indicator 1: Quarterly Trends

The next chart shows the trends in the median practice crude prevalence of coronary heart disease per 1000 registered patients for each of 12 quarters between January 2002 to Dec 2004. Tabular data are available in the appendix (Table 1).

The median practice crude prevalence rose slightly from 36.3 per 1,000 registered patients to 37.1 per 1000 registered patients over the 12 quarters. There has been a worldwide decline in the incidence of ischaemic heart disease so it is interesting to observe a marginal increase in prevalence over the last three years. Possible explanations for the increase in prevalence which deserve further study include: improved computer recording of diagnoses; ageing population and improved survival (which could result from better secondary prevention measures).


### 5.4 Coronary heart disease Indicator 2: recent angina \& exercise test

Indicator CHD2: The percentage of patients with newly diagnosed angina (diagnosed after 01/04/2003) who are referred for exercise testing and/or specialist assessment.
Exclusions: General exclusions apply (i.e. patient need to be registered within 3 months of the census date and not have an exception code in the last 15 months).

The next chart show the inter-practice variation in the percentage of patients with newly diagnosed angina who are referred for exercise testing or specialist assessment. The corresponding tabular data are in the appendix (Table 2).

Compared with other indicators, the overall rates are low (median 40\%) and there is a large variation between practices (inter quartile range IQR 28\% to 54\%).

Inter-practice variation in \% of new angina patients referred for exercise test (CHD indicator 2, Oct-Dec04)


### 5.5 Coronary heart disease Indicator 2: Quarterly Trends

The next chart show trends in the median practice percentage of patients with newly diagnosed angina who are referred for exercise testing or specialist assessment. The corresponding tabular data are in the appendix (Table 2).

This indicator only applied to patients diagnosed after $1^{\text {st }}$ April 2003 which explains why the percentage was zero before this. The 100\% achieved between April and June 2003 naturally follows from the business rule set (people who have been diagnosed within the last 3 months are excluded from the denominator unless they happen to have the indicator in question). The most striking thing to note is the lack of an increase in achievement of this indicator over the 6 quarters with the median practice rate in the most recent quarter still only being 40\%. Either (a) patients aren’t being referred/tested or (b) patients are being referred but it isn't recorded. Patients may not be referred if there is no local service and so lack of referral may reflect lack of provision of services.


### 5.6 Coronary heart disease Indicator 3: \% of with recording of smoking status

Indicator CHD3: The percentage of patients with coronary heart disease who smoke, whose notes contain record smoking status in the past 15 months except those who have never smoked where smoking status should be recorded at least once since diagnosis.
Exclusions: General exclusions apply.
The next chart shows the inter-practice variation in the percentage of coronary heart disease patients whose notes contain record smoking status in the past 15 months except those who have never smoked where smoking status should be recorded at least once since diagnosis in quarter 12 (October to December 2004). As expected, the overall rates were high: the median rate was $92 \%$ and the inter-practice variation relatively small (inter-quartile range $87 \%$ to $95 \%$ ).

The corresponding tabular data can be found in the appendix (Table 3).


### 5.7 Coronary heart disease indicator 3: Quarterly Trends

The next chart shows how trends in the median practice percentage of coronary heart disease patients whose notes contain record smoking status in the past 15 months have changed over the 12 quarters. The corresponding tabular data can be found in the appendix (Table 3).

In quarter 1 (January - March 2002) the median practice percentage was $56 \%$ (inter quartile range $42 \%$ to $70 \%$ ). By quarter 12, this had almost doubled to $92 \%$ and the interpractice variation had lessened ( $87 \%$ to $95 \%$ ).

The trend may reflect a true increase in recording rates or may reflect a change in the pattern of codes use - prior to the new contract, many practices were using EMIS specific codes for smoking status which aren't included in the business rule set.


### 5.8 Coronary heart disease Indicator 4: \% smokers offered cessation advice

Indicator CHD4: The percentage of patients with coronary heart disease who smoke, whose notes contain a record that smoking cessation advice has been offered within the last 15 months Specific exclusions: General exclusions apply.

The next graph shows the inter-practice variation in the percentage of coronary heart disease patients who smoke whose notes contain a record that smoking cessation advice has been offered within the last 15 months. The median rate was high at $93 \%$ (inter quartile range $86 \%$ to $97 \%$ ) with the vast majority having in excess of $90 \%$ for this indicator.

The corresponding tabular data can be found in the appendix (Table 4).

Inter-practice variation in \% of CHD current smokers offered cessation advice


### 5.9 Coronary heart disease indicator 4: Quarterly Trends

The next chart shows trends in the practice median percentage of coronary heart disease patients who smoke whose notes contain a record that smoking cessation advice has been offered within the last 15 months. The corresponding tabular data can be found in the appendix (Table 4).

In quarter 1 (January - March 2002) the median practice percentage was $67 \%$ (inter quartile range $38 \%$ to $88 \%$ ). By quarter 12, this had risen to $93 \%$ and the inter-practice variation was ( $86 \%$ to $97 \%$ ).

The most noticeable thing is the step change in the median rate occurring in the year immediately preceding the introduction of the new contact - in April 2003 the rate was $68 \%$ and by April 2004 it was approaching $90 \%$.


### 5.10 Coronary heart disease Indicator 5: \% with a blood pressure recorded

Indicator CHD5: The percentage of patients with coronary heart disease who have a record of blood pressure in the last 15 months.
Specific exclusions: Patients with a Read code for exception from blood pressure recording.
The next chart shows the inter-practice variation in the percentage of coronary heart disease patients with a blood pressure reading in the last 15 months. The corresponding tabular data can be found in the appendix (Table 5).

Rates for blood pressure recording are high as expected with a median practice percentage of $96 \%$ in the $12^{\text {th }}$ quarter and a relatively narrow inter-practice variation (93\% to 97\%).

Inter-practice variation in \% of CHD patients with BP reading in last 15 months
(CHD indicator 5, Oct-Dec04)


### 5.11 Coronary heart disease Indicator 5: Quarterly Trends

The next chart shows how trends in the percentage of coronary heart disease patients with a blood pressure recording in the last 15 months has changed over the 12 quarters. The corresponding tabular data can be found in the appendix (Table 5).

In quarter 1 (January - March 2002) the median practice percentage was already high at $88 \%$ (inter quartile range $77 \%$ to $93 \%$ ). By quarter 12, this had increased to $96 \%$ and the variation had narrowed (inter-practice variation 93\% to 97\%).


### 5.12 Coronary heart disease Indicator 6: \% with a BP of 150 and 90 mm Hg or less

Indicator CHD6: The percentage of patients with coronary heart disease in whom the last blood pressure (measured in the last 15 months) is 150/90 or less
Specific exclusions: Patients with a Read code for exception from blood pressure recording or a Read code indicating maximal anti-hypertensive treatment
Note: patients may fall out of denominator if registered for less than 9 months or diagnosed with CHD in the last 9 month

The next chart shows the inter-practice variation in the percentage of hypertensive patients with a blood pressure of 150/90 mm hg or less. The corresponding tabular data can be found in the appendix (Table 6)

The median practice rate for the percentage of patients with coronary heart disease with a blood pressure of $150 / 90 \mathrm{~mm} \mathrm{Hg}$ or less in quarter 12 (October to December 2004) was 81\% (inter-quartile range $76 \%$ to $85 \%$ ).


### 5.13 Coronary heart disease Indicator 6: Quarterly Trends

The next chart shows how trends in the percentage of hypertensive patients with a blood pressure value of $150 / 90 \mathrm{~mm}$ hg or less has changed over the 12 quarters. The corresponding tabular data can be found in the appendix (Table 6).

In quarter 1 (January - March 2002) the median practice percentage was $64 \%$ (inter quartile range $56 \%$ to $72 \%$ ). By quarter 12, this had increased to $81 \%$ (inter-practice variation $76 \%$ to $85 \%$ ). Interestingly, blood pressure control (i.e. indicator 6) has improved more than blood pressure recording levels (indicator 5). The improvement is likely to be due to more aggressive medical management of blood pressure levels rather than improved recording. This is especially true given the rise in the prevalence of obesity over the same period. We suspect this degree of improvement may be of public health importance in terms of reducing risk of further vascular events.


### 5.14 Coronary heart disease Indicator 7: \% with a record of cholesterol

Indicator CHD7: The percentage of patients with coronary heart disease whose notes have a record of total cholesterol in the past 15 months.
Specific exclusions: General exclusions apply.
The next chart shows the inter-practice variation in the percentage of coronary heart disease patients with a record of total cholesterol in the past 15 months. The corresponding tabular data can be found in the appendix (Table 7).

Rates for cholesterol recording are fairly high with a median practice percentage of $82 \%$ in the $12^{\text {th }}$ quarter (inter-quartile range $76 \%$ to $88 \%$ ).

Inter-practice variation in \% of CHD patients with cholesterol in last 15 months


### 5.15 Coronary heart disease Indicator 7: Quarterly Trends

The next chart shows how trends in the percentage of coronary heart disease patients with a record of total cholesterol in the past 15 months has changed over the 12 quarters. The corresponding tabular data can be found in the appendix (Table 7).

In quarter 1 (January - March 2002) the median practice percentage was $62 \%$ (inter quartile range $52 \%$ to $71 \%$ ). By quarter 12, this had increased to $82 \%$ (inter-practice variation $76 \%$ to $88 \%$ ). This is a significant rise over a three year period. Increased electronic messaging between practices and the laboratories is likely to have contributed to this rise along with a genuine increase in screening. Interestingly, the trend appears to have levelled off in the last three quarters - in other words, most of the rise occurred before the introduction of the new GMS contract.


### 5.16 Coronary heart disease Indicator 8: \% with a cholesterol <=5 mmol/l

Indicator CHD8: The percentage of patients with coronary heart disease whose last measured total cholesterol (measured in the last 15 months) is $5 \mathrm{mmol} / \mathrm{l}$ or less.
Specific exclusions: General exclusions apply.
Note: patients may fall out of denominator if registered for less than 9 months or diagnosed with CHD in the last 9 month or if they have ever have a persistent exception code for cholesterol recording or a expiring code for cholesterol recording in the last 15 months.

The next chart shows the inter-practice variation in the percentage of coronary heart disease patients whose last measured cholesterol is $5 \mathrm{mmol} / \mathrm{l}$ or less. The corresponding tabular data can be found in the appendix (Table 8).

The median practice percentage in the $12^{\text {th }}$ quarter was $63 \%$ (inter-quartile range $55 \%$ to $70 \%$ ). At first sight, it may be disappointing that the achievement for this indicator is relatively low relative to the other secondary prevention measures included in this report. This is especially the case given the evidence that lowering cholesterol levels prevents reinfarction and improves survival. However, it is important to remember that even in the randomised controlled trials statins resulted in only a $25-30 \%$ lowering of lipid levels. Given that the population mean level of cholesterol is high it is quite possible that patients have had a $30 \%$ reduction but still remain above the target value of 5 . In other words, they may be treated effectively and have had the best reduction we could expect ${ }^{1}$.
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### 5.17 Coronary heart disease Indicator 8: Quarterly Trends

The next chart shows how trends in the percentage of coronary heart disease patients with a cholesterol of $5 \mathrm{mmol} / \mathrm{l}$ or less have changed over the 12 quarters. The corresponding tabular data can be found in the appendix (Table 8).

In quarter 1 (January - March 2002) the median practice percentage was $36 \%$ (inter quartile range $29 \%$ to $45 \%$ ). By quarter 12, this had increased to $63 \%$ (inter-practice variation $55 \%$ to $69 \%$ ). This increase is difficult to interpret in the light of the increase in recording which occurred over the same period. However, it would be interesting to determine whether this improvement has the expected health gains at a population level in terms of reducing mortality and infarction rates.


### 5.18 Coronary heart disease Indicator 9: \% with a record of aspirin etc

Indicator CHD9: The percentage of patients with coronary heart disease with a record in the last 15 months that aspirin, an alternative anti-platelet therapy, or an anti-coagulant is being taken (unless a contraindication or side effects are recorded).
General exclusions: General exclusions apply.
The next chart shows the inter-practice variation in the percentage of patients with coronary heart disease with a record in the last 15 months that aspirin, an alternative antiplatelet therapy, or an anti-coagulant is being taken (unless a contraindication or side effects are recorded). The corresponding tabular data can be found in the appendix (Table $9)$.

The median practice percentage in the $12^{\text {th }}$ quarter was $87 \%$ (inter-quartile range $83 \%$ to 91\%).


### 5.19 Coronary heart disease Indicator 9: Quarterly Trends

The next chart shows how trends in the percentage of patients with coronary heart disease with a record in the last 15 months that aspirin, an alternative anti-platelet therapy, or an anti-coagulant is being taken have changed over the 12 quarters. The corresponding tabular data can be found in the appendix (Table 9).

In quarter 1 (January - March 2002) the median practice percentage was $79 \%$ (inter quartile range $782 \%$ to $84 \%$ ). By quarter 12, this had increased to $87 \%$ (inter-quartile range $83 \%$ to $91 \%$ ).


### 5.20 Coronary heart disease Indicator 10: \% currently treated with a beta blocker etc

Indicator CHD10: The percentage of patients with coronary heart disease who are currently treated with a beta blocker (unless a contra-indication or side effects are recorded)
Specific exclusions: General exclusions apply
The next chart shows the inter-practice variation in the percentage of patients with coronary heart disease who are currently treated with a beta blocker (unless a contraindication or side effects are recorded). The corresponding tabular data can be found in the appendix (Table 10).

The median practice percentage in the $12^{\text {th }}$ quarter was low at $52 \%$ (inter-quartile range $47 \%$ to $54 \%$ ).

Inter-practice variation in \% of CHD patients currently treated with a beta blocker
(CHD indicator 10, Oct-Dec04)


### 5.21 Coronary heart disease Indicator 10: Quarterly Trends

The next chart shows how trends in the percentage of patients with coronary heart disease who are currently treated with a beta blocker have changed over the 12 quarters. The corresponding tabular data can be found in the appendix (Table 10).

There has been a gradual increase in the percentage of patients prescribed a beta blocker over the past three years with no obvious step change. In quarter 1 (January - March 2002) the median practice percentage was $43 \%$ (inter quartile range $39 \%$ to $48 \%$ ). By quarter 12, this had increased to $52 \%$ (inter-practice variation $47 \%$ to $54 \%$ ). Given that beta blockers are also used to lower blood pressure, then it is possible that the increase in this indicator is related to the improvement in blood pressure levels reported above.


### 5.22 Coronary heart disease Indicator 11: \% of MI patients currently treated with an ACE inhibitor

Indicator CHD11: the percentage of patients with a history of a myocardial infarction (diagnosed after $1^{\text {st }}$ April 2003) who are currently treated with an ACE inhibitor.
Specific exclusions: General exclusions apply
The next chart shows the inter-practice variation in the percentage of patients with a history of a myocardial infarction (diagnosed after $1^{\text {st }}$ April 2003) who are currently treated with an ACE inhibitor. The corresponding tabular data can be found in the appendix (Table 11).

The median practice percentage in the $12^{\text {th }}$ quarter was $86 \%$ (inter-quartile range $75 \%$ to 93\%).


### 5.23 Coronary heart disease Indicator 11: Quarterly Trends

The next chart shows how trends in the median practice percentage of patients with a history of a myocardial infarction (diagnosed after $1^{\text {st }}$ April 2003) who are currently treated with an ACE inhibitor. The corresponding tabular data can be found in the appendix (Table 11).

In the first quarter (April-June 2003), then there was a $100 \%$ achievement (this is a logical consequence of the business rule set as with indicator 2 as discussed above). The noticeable thing is the relatively constant level of achievement of this indicator at 85$86 \%$. Patients with a myocardial infarction tend to be hospitalised now and then discharged on a 'package' of drugs including aspirin, statin and an ACE inhibitor. The levels therefore reflect both hospital initiated treatment and that initiated within primary care.


### 5.24 Coronary heart disease Indicator 12: \% with flu vaccine

Indicator CHD11: The percentage of coronary heart disease patients who have a record of influenza vaccination in the preceding $1^{\text {st }}$ September to $31^{\text {st }}$ March.
Specific exclusions: General exclusions apply
The next chart shows the inter-practice variation in the percentage of coronary heart disease patients who have a record of influenza vaccination in the preceding $1^{\text {st }}$ September to $31^{\text {st }}$ March. The corresponding tabular data can be found in the appendix (Table 12).

The median practice percentage in the $12^{\text {th }}$ quarter was $90 \%$ (inter-quartile range $84 \%$ to 94\%).

Inter-practice variation in \% of CHD patients with flu vaccine (01 Sept-31 Mar)
(CHD indicator 12, Oct-Dec04)


### 5.25 Coronary heart disease Indicator 12: Quarterly Trends

The next chart shows how trends in the median practice the percentage of coronary heart disease patients who have a record of influenza vaccination in the preceding $1^{\text {st }}$ September to $31^{\text {st }}$ March. The corresponding tabular data can be found in the appendix (Table 12).

The unusual pattern in the graph below related to the seasonal nature of indicator 12 which is measured over the preceding September to March. At the beginning of the vaccination period (i.e. in October) the rates are lowest. At the end of the vaccination period (i.e. April), the rates are highest. The majority of vaccinations are done during the last quarter of each year (Oct-Dec) as can be seen from the graph. Comparing rates across the year, we can see that the rate at the end of the $2001 / 2$ 'season' was $77 \%$, was $81 \%$ in $2002 / 2003$ and $85 \%$ in $2003 / 2004$. Data for the end of season for $2004 / 5$ are not yet available but are very likely to be in excess of $90 \%$ based on the last quarter of 2004.


### 5.26 Trends in median practice \% of patients with CHD exception code.

The next graph shows the interpractice variation in the \% of patients with CHD exception code in quarter 12 (Table 13). Use of the coronary heart disease exception code is very low with the majority of practices not using it at all. There has been no important increase in the use of this code over the study period (less than 250 patients have the code in every quarter).

Inter-practice variation in \% of patients with CHD exception code (exception 0, Oct-Dec04)


### 5.27 Trends in median practice \% exception from serum cholesterol target expiring

The next chart shows the inter-practice variation in the percentage of patients with an exception from serum cholesterol target (expiring). The corresponding data can found in the appendix (Table 14). The median practice percentage was $5 \%$.


There has been a slow increase over the last few quarters since the code was initially introduced although the absolute levels remain low.


### 5.28 Trends in median practice \% exception from serum cholesterol target persistent

The next chart shows the inter-practice variation in the median percentage of coronary heart disease patients with an exception code from serum cholesterol target (persisting). The absolute numbers (and hence rates) are $1 / 10^{\text {th }}$ of that found for the corresponding expiring code. The rates were so low over each of the quarters that they have not been plotted but the data is available in the appendix (Table 15).


### 5.29 Trends in median practice \% of patients with warfarin contraindicators persistent

Tabular data for trends in median practice \% of patients with warfarin contraindications persistent are shown in the appendix(Table 16). The graph showing inter-practice variation in the last quarter is shown below.

Inter-practice variation in \% of patients with warfarin contraindicators persistent (exception 3, Oct-Dec04)


### 5.30 Trends in median practice \% patients with warfarin contraindications expiring

Tabular data for trends in median practice \% patients with warfarin contraindications expiring are shown in the appendix (Table 17). The graph showing inter-practice variation in the last quarter is shown below.


### 5.31 Trends in median practice \% patients with salicylate contraindications persistent

Tabular data for trends in median practice \% patients with salicylate contraindications persistent can be found in the appendix (Table 18). The graph showing inter-practice variation in the last quarter is shown below.


### 5.32 Trends in median practice \% patients with salicylate contraindications expiring

Tabular data for trends in median practice \% patients with salicylate contraindications expiring can be found in the appendix (Table 19). The graph showing inter-practice variation in the last quarter is shown below.
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### 5.33 Trends in median practice \% patients with clopidogrel contraindications

Tabular data for trends in median practice \% patients with clopidogrel contraindications persistent can be found in (Table 20) and 'expiring' ones can be found in (Table 21). The rates are so low that graphs have not been produced.

### 5.34 Trends in median practice \% patients with beta blockers contraindications (persistent)

Tabular data for trends in median practice \% patients with beta blockers contraindications (persistent) can be found in the appendix (Table 22). The graph showing inter-practice variation in the last quarter is shown below.


### 5.35 Trends in median practice \% patients with beta blockers contraindications expiring

Tabular data for trends in median practice \% patients with beta blockers contraindications expiring can be found in the appendix (Table 23). The graph showing inter-practice variation in the last quarter is shown below.

Inter-practice variation in \% patients with beta blockers contraindicators expiring
(exception 10, Oct-Dec04)



### 5.36 Trends in median practice \% patients with ACE contraindications (persistent)

Tabular data for trends in median practice \% patients with ACE contraindications persistent (Table 24). The rates were so low that a graph has not been produced.

### 5.37 Trends in median practice \% patients with ACE contraindications expiring

Tabular data for trends in median practice \% patients with ACE contraindications (expiring) can be found in the appendix (Table 25). The graph showing inter-practice variation in the last quarter is shown below.



### 5.38 Trends in median practice \% patients with A2 antagonist contraindications (persistent)

Tabular data for trends in median practice \% patients with A2 antagonist contraindications (persistent) can be found in the appendix (Table 26). The rates were so low that a graph has not been produced.

### 5.39 Trends in median practice \% patients with A2 antagonist contraindications expiring

Tabular data for trends in median practice \% patients with A2 antagonist contraindications expiring can be found in the appendix(Table 27). The graph showing inter-practice variation in the last quarter is shown below.

Inter-practice variation in \% patients with A2 antagonist contraindicators expiring (exception 14, Oct-Dec04)


### 5.40 Trends in median practice \% patients with exercise test decline code

Tabular data for trends in median practice \% patients with exercise test decline code can be found in the appendix (Table 28). The rates were so low that a graph has not been produced.

### 5.41 Trends in median practice \% patients with flu vaccination contraindications (persisting)

Tabular data for trends in median practice \% patients with flu vaccination contraindications (persisting) can be found in the appendix(Table 29). The rates were so low that a graph has not been produced.

### 5.42 Trends in median practice \% patients with flu vaccination contraindications (expiring)

Tabular data for trends in median practice \% patients with flu vaccination contraindications (expiring) can be found in the appendix (Table 30)



### 5.43 Trends in median practice \% patients with BP recording exception codes in 15/12

Tabular data for trends in median practice \% patients with blood pressure recording exception codes in 15/12 (Table 31). The rates were so low that a graph has not been produced.

### 5.44 Trends in median practice \% patients with maximal BP therapy

Tabular data for trends in median practice \% patients with maximal BP therapy can be found in the appendix (Table 32). The graph of inter-practice variation in the last quarter is shown below.


## 6 DISCUSSION

Coronary heart disease became a new GMS Contract indicative disease in the wake of the publication of the National Service Framework (NSF) for Coronary Heart Disease (CHD). The NSF was itself prompted by the realisation of the importance of primary prevention, active acute intervention and secondary prevention in population and individual patient care. It is likely that more years of life will be saved through active intervention in coronary heart disease than in any other area.

It is for these reasons that the analyses in this report are of high importance. Whatever the motivation, improvements in primary and secondary prevention in coronary heart disease will have far-reaching effects.

All practices were able to satisfy Indicator 1, the requirement to have a coronary heart disease register.

The prevalence of coronary heart disease in our study is higher than that in other primary care studies ${ }^{2}$ and has increase slightly over the 12 quarters studied in the report. This increase could reflect improved computer recording, better case ascertainment or the effect of an ageing population. However, whilst these factors are likely to be important, other QRESEARCH analyses have shown that the age standardised prevalence of coronary heart disease has increased over the last ten years at the same time as the incidence has decreased. Improved survival for coronary heart disease patients may be the explanation for the increase in prevalence described in the report and this deserves further study.

In the next part of this discussion, the indicators will be grouped by their general type.
One indicator looks at the investigation of new cases of coronary heart disease. Indicator 2 measures referral for exercise testing or specialist assessment. As the charts show (5.4 and 5.5) there is wide inter-practice variation and, overall, a constant rate of $40.0 \%$ for this indicator in recent quarters. Firstly this achievement rate is not an artefact, but a genuine finding. Second it suggests that the "natural" level of this indicator is not $100 \%$ but much more like $50 \%$. The inter-quartile range is narrowing, suggesting that practices are beginning to coalesce around this value.

A group of indicators look at the recording of key risk factors. Indicator 3 looks at the recording of smoking status; Indicator 5 measures the percent with a blood pressure recorded; and Indicator 7 looks at the recording of cholesterol levels.

As can be seen, the recording of smoking status has been rising steadily and significantly throughout the time period of two years, almost reaching $92 \%$ in the past 15 months by the end of 2004. There is a less dramatic increase in blood pressure recording because the Page 52 of 87
baseline in early 2002 was so much higher ( $87.5 \%$ in the past 15 months) but it had nearly reached $96 \%$ by the end of 2004. The recording of cholesterol levels is more analogous to smoking, with a sustained rise from $62 \%$ at the beginning of 2002 to over $82 \%$ in the last quarter.

The conclusion from these is that recording levels are rising but may be plateauing out. There is no obvious new GMS Contract effect of a sudden rush of recording. And interpractice variation is narrowing with time.

The third group of indicators concern preventive action on lifestyle and risk factors. Indicator 4 measures smoking advice to smokers; Indicator 9 concerns the taking of aspirin; Indicator 10 looks at beta-blocker prescribing; and Indicator 11 is of ACEI prescribing in patients with a new myocardial infarction.

There was a surge in the recording of smoking advice given starting in the April 2003 quarter and tailing off towards the end of 2004, by which time it was nearly $93 \%$ in the previous 15 months. This is the first change that could be attributed through timing and degree to the introduction of the new contract. Many practices will have recorded such advice in free text before and this may therefore be a recording artefact rather than a genuine increase in activity. The rises in the recording of aspirin use and beta-blocker prescribing are less dramatic and more linear, suggesting an underlying trend of either improved recording or better care.

The apparent fall in use of ACEI in patients with a new MI is artefact from the way in which the indicator was calculated in early quarters. It appears to be stable now at about 86\%.

A single indicator (12) looks at the giving of influenza vaccination. There is a general trend up from $78 \%$ to nearer to $90 \%$. There is no suggestion of a surge from the new contract and since this activity is likely to have been well recorded in the past, the rise, for whatever reason, is likely to reflect genuinely better coverable of this vulnerable group.

The last group of indicators concern disease control measures. Indicator 6 reflects level of control of blood pressure; and Indicator 8 looks at control of cholesterol.

The percentage of patients with blood pressure of $150 / 90$ or less has been increasing gradually - almost linearly - over the two years. Given the high level of recording of blood pressures this can only demonstrate better clinical control of blood pressure, which in itself is gratifying evidence of improving standards of primary care. Similarly the percent of patients with a cholesterol of $5 \mathrm{mmol} / \mathrm{l}$ or less has been rising linearly (except for a fall off in the last quarter) suggesting a genuine improvement in clinical care. These two indicators suggest that, for whatever reason, primary care is likely to be saving significantly more lives than three years ago.

We have found a significant variation between practices in the recording of almost all of the indicators. Our study design does not allow us to determine whether this is due to variation in the quality of care or differences in the completeness of data entry though the electronic record tends to be more complete than the paper record ${ }^{3}$. However, there was a marked reduction in the variation between practices over the 12 quarters.

The next, and final, topic for this discussion concerns the use of exemption codes. Many of these are only recently available which makes interpretation difficult. Further the sentiments behind them may have been recorded in free text or have been "understood", but have only now, for the contract indicators, been actually coded.

The coronary heart disease exemption code itself is rarely used and its use is not increasing in recent quarters. The cholesterol exemption code (expiring) is becoming more common reaching 5.5 in the last quarter. If this trend were to continue a significant proportion will soon be recorded as exempt.

Warfarin and clopidogrel contraindication codes are still infrequently used, but are rising from a low base. Beta-blocker and ACEI contraindication codes are rising in frequency, but are still overall at a low level. Salicylate contraindication codes are much more widely used and for longer, but the rise in their use is less marked. However over $12 \%$ now have an expiring salicylate contraindication recorded. Over $9 \%$ of patients have a contraindication to influenza vaccination recorded, a percentage that is rising quickly in recent quarters. The other exemption codes are seldom used.

These data, reported at the very start of the new GMS contract, will be of interest to practices as they plan their delivery strategies and to health service planners responsible for monitoring and remuneration. The large variation between practices in levels of outcomes achieved was expected although the overall values achieved were lower than expected for several indicators (in particular indicator 5) indicating the substantial amount of work needed to provide optimum care for all patients.
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## 8 APPENDIX

Table 1: Trends in median practice prevalence of coronary heart disease per 1000 registered patients (CHD indicator 1)

| Start of quarter | Total patients with coronary heart disease | Registered patients | Practice median prevalence rate per 1000 registered patients | 25th | 75th |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 01-Jan-02 | 121,811 | 3,372,749 | 36.3 | 27.3 | 45.2 |
| 01-Apr-02 | 122,878 | 3,376,356 | 36.5 | 27.9 | 45.2 |
| 01-Jul-02 | 123,684 | 3,396,358 | 36.7 | 27.8 | 45.4 |
| 01-Oct-02 | 124,227 | 3,391,864 | 37.1 | 28.3 | 45.5 |
| 01-Jan-03 | 125,197 | 3,393,214 | 37.5 | 28.4 | 46.0 |
| 01-Apr-03 | 125,525 | 3,396,352 | 37.3 | 28.6 | 46.2 |
| 01-Jul-03 | 126,029 | 3,411,704 | 37.6 | 28.7 | 46.3 |
| 01-Oct-03 | 126,111 | 3,409,348 | 37.9 | 28.5 | 46.4 |
| 01-Jan-04 | 126,154 | 3,406,060 | 37.7 | 28.4 | 46.4 |
| 01-Apr-04 | 126,033 | 3,410,710 | 37.5 | 28.3 | 45.9 |
| 01-Jul-04 | 125,805 | 3,420,653 | 37.4 | 28.2 | 45.6 |
| 01-Oct-04 | 125,265 | 3,424,134 | 37.1 | 28.0 | 45.2 |
|  | 121,811 | 3,372,749 | 36.3 | 27.3 | 45.2 |

Table 2 Trends in practice median \% new angina patients referred for an exercise test (CHD indicator 2)

| Start of quarter | Total new angina patients <br> referred for an exercise <br> test | Total new angina <br> patients | Practice median \% new angina <br> patients referred (for an <br> anercise test | 25th | 75th |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  |  |  | 0.0 |  |
| 01-Jan-02 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |
| 01-Apr-02 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 01-Jul-02 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |
| 01-Oct-02 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |
| 01-Jan-03 | 0 | 0 | 100.0 | 0.0 |  |
| 01-Apr-03 | 534 | 534 | 44.4 | 0.0 |  |
| 01-Jul-03 | 1,192 | 2,741 | 40.0 | 100.0 |  |
| 01-Oct-03 | 1,940 | 4,941 | 40.0 | 12.5 | 66.7 |
| 01-Jan-04 | 2,891 | 9,545 | 40.0 | 18.8 | 61.5 |
| 01-Apr-04 | 3,642 | 11,364 | 40.0 | 22.2 | 60.0 |
| 01-Jul-04 | 4,400 | 12,956 | 23.5 | 57.1 |  |
| 01-Oct-04 | 4,889 |  | 27.6 | 55.6 |  |

Table 3 Trends in practice median \% of CHD patients with smoking history in the last 15 months (CHD indicator 3).

| Start of quarter | Total coronary heart disease patients with smoking history in the last 15 months | Total coronary heart disease patients eligible for smoking history | Practice median \% of coronary heart disease patients with smoking history recorded in the last 15 months | 25th | 75th |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 01-Jan-02 | 65,851 | 119,667 | 56.1 | 41.6 | 70.2 |
| 01-Apr-02 | 68,159 | 121,269 | 56.8 | 43.4 | 71.0 |
| 01-Jul-02 | 70,545 | 122,159 | 58.7 | 44.8 | 71.6 |
| 01-Oct-02 | 72,769 | 122,600 | 60.1 | 46.7 | 73.6 |
| 01-Jan-03 | 76,330 | 123,520 | 63.1 | 49.4 | 75.5 |
| 01-Apr-03 | 79,062 | 124,265 | 64.6 | 51.9 | 77.4 |
| 01-Jul-03 | 83,019 | 124,863 | 67.7 | 55.3 | 79.2 |
| 01-Oct-03 | 90,013 | 125,098 | 75.1 | 62.5 | 84.5 |
| 01-Jan-04 | 99,658 | 125,391 | 82.4 | 72.0 | 90.1 |
| 01-Apr-04 | 105,188 | 125,527 | 86.3 | 77.7 | 92.2 |
| 01-Jul-04 | 109,746 | 125,403 | 90.0 | 82.5 | 94.4 |
| 01-Oct-04 | 112,219 | 125,075 | 91.7 | 86.6 | 95.1 |

Table 4 Trends in practice median \% of CHD patients who smoke who have had smoking advice given (CHD indicator 4)

| Start of quarter | Total coronary heart disease who smoke given advice ever | Total coronary heart disease patients who smoke | Practice median \% of coronary heart disease patients who smoke who have had smoking advice given | 25th | 75th |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 01-Jan-02 | 6,068 | 9,501 | 66.7 | 38.3 | 88.2 |
| 01-Apr-02 | 6,406 | 10,058 | 66.7 | 42.9 | 85.7 |
| 01-Jul-02 | 6,735 | 10,558 | 66.7 | 45.0 | 85.4 |
| 01-Oct-02 | 7,117 | 11,020 | 65.3 | 44.4 | 83.3 |
| 01-Jan-03 | 7,680 | 11,615 | 66.7 | 50.0 | 84.0 |
| 01-Apr-03 | 8,307 | 12,268 | 68.0 | 51.0 | 83.6 |
| 01-Jul-03 | 9,387 | 13,198 | 73.2 | 58.3 | 85.7 |
| 01-Oct-03 | 10,891 | 14,163 | 80.0 | 64.3 | 90.9 |
| 01-Jan-04 | 12,842 | 15,244 | 87.2 | 75.0 | 95.2 |
| 01-Apr-04 | 13,693 | 15,765 | 89.5 | 80.0 | 96.4 |
| 01-Jul-04 | 14,534 | 16,280 | 91.5 | 84.4 | 96.3 |
| 01-Oct-04 | 14,838 | 16,433 | 92.7 | 85.7 | 97.3 |

Table 5 Trends in practice median \% of CHD patients who have had BP check in the last 15 months (CHD indicator 5)

| Start of quarter | Total coronary heart <br> disease patients with blood <br> pressure check in last 15 <br> months | Total coronary heart <br> disease patients eligible <br> for blood pressure check | Practice median \% of coronary <br> heart disease patients who have <br> had BP check in the last 15 <br> months | 75th |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 01-Jan-02 | 101,817 | 121,041 | 87.5 | 78.6 | 93.1 |
| 01-Apr-02 | 104,375 | 122,427 | 88.6 | 80.0 | 93.5 |
| 01-Jul-02 | 106,586 | 123,285 | 89.3 | 81.8 | 93.6 |
| 01-Oct-02 | 108,396 | 123,806 | 90.1 | 82.6 | 94.2 |
| 01-Jan-03 | 110,880 | 124,747 | 90.8 | 85.1 | 94.3 |
| 01-Apr-03 | 112,365 | 125,240 | 91.6 | 86.2 | 94.7 |
| 01-Jul-03 | 113,574 | 125,796 | 92.9 | 87.0 | 95.3 |
| 01-Oct-03 | 114,923 | 125,913 | 94.0 | 88.2 | 96.0 |
| 01-Jan-04 | 116,898 | 126,056 | 94.5 | 90.3 | 96.6 |
| 01-Apr-04 | 117,860 | 125,996 | 95.2 | 91.4 | 96.8 |
| 01-Jul-04 | 118,612 | 125,793 | 95.8 | 92.5 | 97.0 |
| 01-Oct-04 | 118,544 | 125,328 | 93.3 | 97.3 |  |

Table 6 Trends in practice median \% of CHD patients who have BP <=159/90 mmhg (CHD indicator 6)

| Start of quarter | Total coronary heart <br> disease patients with BP <br> $\mathbf{1 5 0 / 9 0}$ or less | Total eligible for BP <br> value checks | Practice median \% of coronary <br> heart disease patients who have <br> $\mathbf{B P}<=\mathbf{1 5 9 / 9 0} \mathbf{m m h g}$ | 75th |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 01-Jan-02 | 73,628 | 117,421 | 64.0 | 55.8 | 71.8 |
| 01-Apr-02 | 76,875 | 118,621 | 65.9 | 58.8 | 73.1 |
| 01-Jul-02 | 80,299 | 119,818 | 67.8 | 60.5 | 74.9 |
| 01-Oct-02 | 80,945 | 120,590 | 67.4 | 60.8 | 74.6 |
| 01-Jan-03 | 82,266 | 121,526 | 68.0 | 61.2 | 75.1 |
| 01-Apr-03 | 85,280 | 122,288 | 70.1 | 63.3 | 76.8 |
| 01-Jul-03 | 88,093 | 123,127 | 72.1 | 65.6 | 79.0 |
| 01-Oct-03 | 88,881 | 123,441 | 72.8 | 66.4 | 79.2 |
| 01-Jan-04 | 91,128 | 123,557 | 74.2 | 68.2 | 80.5 |
| 01-Apr-04 | 94,515 | 123,728 | 77.0 | 71.3 | 82.9 |
| 01-Jul-04 | 97,542 | 123,741 | 79.7 | 74.0 | 84.7 |
| 01-Oct-04 | 98,121 | 123,342 | 81.0 | 75.6 | 85.0 |

Table 7 Trends in practice median \% of CHD patients who have cholesterol recorded in last 15 months
(CHD indicator 7)

| Start of quarter | Total coronary heart <br> diseasepatients <br> cholesterol recorded <br> (ast 15 months <br> in | Total eligible <br> cholesterol checks | Practice median \%o of coronary <br> heart disease patients who have <br> cholesterol recorded in last 15 <br> monthhs | 75th |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 01-Jan-02 | 71,390 | 119,536 | 61.8 | 51.5 | 70.8 |
| 01-Apr-02 | 74,758 | 121,078 | 63.0 | 54.3 | 72.6 |
| 01-Jul-02 | 77,642 | 122,052 | 65.6 | 56.8 | 73.8 |
| 01-Oct-02 | 80,497 | 122,553 | 66.7 | 58.7 | 75.9 |
| 01-Jan-03 | 84,357 | 123,405 | 68.8 | 61.1 | 78.1 |
| 01-Apr-03 | 86,879 | 124,175 | 71.1 | 62.0 | 80.2 |
| 01-Jul-03 | 89,204 | 124,725 | 73.0 | 64.9 | 81.3 |
| 01-Oct-03 | 91,981 | 124,781 | 75.1 | 67.2 | 83.2 |
| 01-Jan-04 | 97,312 | 125,022 | 79.4 | 71.8 | 86.3 |
| 01-Apr-04 | 100,313 | 125,175 | 81.8 | 75.0 | 87.2 |
| 01-Jul-04 | 102,234 | 124,950 | 83.3 | 77.2 | 88.6 |
| 01-Oct-04 | 100,529 | 124,559 | 82.3 | 75.6 | 88.2 |

Table 8 Trends in practice median \% of CHD patients who have cholesterol <= $5 \mathrm{mmol} / \mathrm{l}$ (CHD indicator 8)

| Start of quarter | Total coronary heart disease patients with cholesterol <=5 mmol/l | Total eligible for cholesterol checks | Practice median \% of coronary heart disease patients who have cholesterol <= 5mmol/l | 25th | 75th |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 01-Jan-02 | 40,971 | 113,336 | 36.6 | 28.9 | 44.9 |
| 01-Apr-02 | 44,177 | 114,176 | 39.7 | 31.4 | 46.7 |
| 01-Jul-02 | 47,494 | 115,250 | 41.4 | 34.0 | 48.9 |
| 01-Oct-02 | 50,360 | 116,309 | 43.3 | 36.0 | 51.2 |
| 01-Jan-03 | 53,653 | 117,004 | 46.1 | 38.1 | 54.4 |
| 01-Apr-03 | 56,914 | 117,650 | 47.6 | 40.3 | 57.5 |
| 01-Jul-03 | 59,941 | 118,555 | 51.0 | 42.4 | 59.6 |
| 01-Oct-03 | 62,445 | 118,894 | 53.0 | 44.5 | 61.9 |
| 01-Jan-04 | 66,550 | 118,575 | 57.1 | 49.1 | 64.9 |
| 01-Apr-04 | 70,264 | 118,492 | 60.2 | 52.4 | 67.2 |
| 01-Jul-04 | 73,205 | 118,132 | 63.3 | 55.0 | 70.0 |
| 01-Oct-04 | 72,547 | 117,464 | 62.6 | 55.0 | 69.8 |

Table 9 Trends in practice median \% of CHD patients with aspirin or equivalent antiplatelet (CHD indicator 9)

| Start of quarter | Total coronary heart <br> disease patients currently <br> on aspirin or equivalent | Total eligible for aspirin <br> or equivalent | Practice median \% of coronary <br> heart disease patients currently <br> on aspirin or equivalent | 25th | 75th |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 01-Jan-02 | 91,467 | 120,354 | 78.5 | 72.1 | 84.2 |
| 01-Apr-02 | 93,201 | 121,822 | 78.7 | 73.5 | 84.6 |
| 01-Jul-02 | 94,753 | 122,646 | 79.9 | 74.4 | 85.5 |
| 01-Oct-02 | 95,880 | 123,222 | 80.4 | 75.1 | 86.1 |
| 01-Jan-03 | 97,226 | 123,966 | 81.3 | 76.0 | 86.5 |
| 01-Apr-03 | 98,278 | 124,694 | 82.0 | 76.4 | 87.1 |
| 01-Jul-03 | 99,298 | 125,201 | 82.9 | 77.3 | 88.0 |
| 01-Oct-03 | 100,138 | 125,315 | 84.5 | 78.5 | 88.5 |
| 01-Jan-04 | 101,056 | 125,374 | 85.1 | 79.5 | 89.5 |
| 01-Apr-04 | 101,617 | 125,200 | 86.3 | 80.5 | 89.8 |
| 01-Jul-04 | 102,130 | 124,654 | 86.7 | 81.7 | 90.7 |
| 01-Oct-04 | 102,182 | 124,072 | 82.5 | 90.9 |  |

Table 10 Trends in practice median \% of CHD patients currently treated with a beta blocker (CHD indicator 10)

| Start of quarter | Total coronary heart <br> disease patients currently <br> treated with a beta <br> blocker | Total eligible <br> cholesterol checks | Practice median \% of coronary <br> heart disease patients currently <br> treated with a beta blocker | 25th | 75th |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 50,577 | 116,148 | 43.1 | 38.6 | 48.0 |
| 01-Jan-02 | 117,007 | 44.0 | 39.2 | 48.4 |  |
| 01-Apr-02 | 117,967 | 44.5 | 39.5 | 48.9 |  |
| 01-Jul-02 | 118,859 | 44.8 | 39.9 | 49.7 |  |
| 01-Oct-02 | 53,118 | 119,374 | 45.6 | 41.0 | 50.2 |
| 01-Jan-03 | 54,128 | 119,722 | 46.5 | 41.5 | 50.8 |
| 01-Apr-03 | 55,274 | 120,041 | 47.1 | 51.2 |  |
| 01-Jul-03 | 56,133 | 119,981 | 47.9 | 43.2 | 51.9 |
| 01-Oct-03 | 57,038 | 119,074 | 50.0 | 43.9 | 53.6 |
| 01-Jan-04 | 57,948 | 117,952 | 50.9 | 45.0 | 55.2 |
| 01-Apr-04 | 58,933 | 116,418 | 52.1 | 45.9 | 56.1 |
| 01-Jul-04 | 59,579 | 115,360 | 46.8 | 57.4 |  |
| 01-Oct-04 | 59,822 |  |  |  |  |

Table 11 Trends in practice median \% of new MI patients currently on an ACE inhibitor (CHD indicator 11)

| Start of quarter | Total of new MI patients <br> currently on an ACE <br> inhibitor | Total MI patients <br> eligible for ACE | Practice median \% of new MI <br> patients currently on an ACE <br> inhibitor | 75th |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 01-Jan-02 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 01-Apr-02 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 01-Jul-02 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |
| 01-Oct-02 | 0 | 0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 01-Jan-03 | 913 | 92.9 | 100.0 | 100.0 |  |
| 01-Apr-03 | 913 | 2,186 | 86.7 | 75.0 | 100.0 |
| 01-Jul-03 | 1,862 | 3,382 | 85.7 | 75.0 | 100.0 |
| 01-Oct-03 | 2,796 | 4,595 | 84.6 | 75.0 | 100.0 |
| 01-Jan-04 | 3,808 | 5,726 | 85.7 | 75.0 | 93.8 |
| 01-Apr-04 | 4,664 | 6,666 | 85.7 | 75.0 | 93.8 |
| 01-Jul-04 | 5,379 | 7,504 | 75.0 | 92.9 |  |
| 01-Oct-04 | 5,936 |  |  |  |  |

Table 12 Trends in practice median \% of CHD patients with a flu vaccine in the preceding Sept-March (CHD indicator 12)

| Start of quarter | Total coronary heart <br> disease patients <br> current flu vaccination | Total eligible for flu <br> vaccination | Practice median \% of coronary <br> heart disease patients with a flu <br> vaccine in the preceding Sept- <br> March | 25th | 75th |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 01-Jan-02 | 82,618 | 107,234 | 78.3 | 71.5 | 84.1 |
| 01-Apr-02 | 82,323 | 108,481 | 77.1 | 70.5 | 83.3 |
| 01-Jul-02 | 6,052 | 33,241 | 4.7 | 0.0 | 23.4 |
| 01-Oct-02 | 86,044 | 107,928 | 81.5 | 75.0 | 86.9 |
| 01-Jan-03 | 86,395 | 109,461 | 80.7 | 74.6 | 86.0 |
| 01-Apr-03 | 85,722 | 110,167 | 79.6 | 72.9 | 85.0 |
| 01-Jul-03 | 9,176 | 34,159 | 14.3 | 0.0 | 39.3 |
| 01-Oct-03 | 91,810 | 109,445 | 85.4 | 79.9 | 90.3 |
| 01-Jan-04 | 91,642 | 109,712 | 85.0 | 79.5 | 89.6 |
| 01-Apr-04 | 90,871 | 109,963 | 20.4 | 78.5 | 88.8 |
| 01-Jul-04 | 11,931 | 30,613 | 90.0 | 0.0 | 56.1 |
| 01-Oct-04 | 84,752 | 96,630 | 84.4 | 93.6 |  |

Table 13: Trends in practice median \% of all CHD patients with a coronary heart disease exception code

| Start of quarter | Total coronary heart <br> disease patients with CHD <br> exception code | All patients with CHD | Practice median \% of all <br> coronary heart disease patients <br> with a CHD exception code | 25th | 75th |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 208 | 122,019 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 01-Jan-02 | 123,088 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |
| 01-Apr-02 | 210 | 123,893 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 01-Jul-02 | 209 | 124,430 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 01-Oct-02 | 203 | 125,422 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 01-Jan-03 | 225 | 125,752 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 01-Apr-03 | 227 | 126,264 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 01-Jul-03 | 235 | 126,344 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 01-Oct-03 | 233 | 126,393 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |
| 01-Jan-04 | 239 | 126,270 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |
| 01-Apr-04 | 237 | 126,044 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |
| 01-Jul-04 | 239 | 125,496 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |
| 01-Oct-04 | 231 |  |  | 0.0 |  |

Table 14: Trends in practice median \% of CHD patients with exception from cholesterol testing (expiring)
$\left.\begin{array}{|l|l|l|l|l|l|}\hline \text { Start of quarter } & \begin{array}{l}\text { Total coronary heart } \\ \text { disease patients } \begin{array}{c}\text { with } \\ \text { exception from cholesterol } \\ \text { testing (expiring) }\end{array}\end{array} \text { All patients with CHD } & \begin{array}{l}\text { Practice median \% of all } \\ \text { coronary heart disease patients } \\ \text { exolesterol }\end{array} & & \text { 25th } \\ \text { exception } \\ \text { testing (expiring) }\end{array}\right)$

Table 15: Trends in practice median \% of CHD patients exception from cholesterol testing (persistent)

| Start of quarter | Total coronary heart  <br> disease patients with <br> exception from cholesterol   <br> testing (persistent)   | All patients with CHD | Practice median \% of all coronary heart disease patients exception from cholesterol testing (persistent) | 25th | 75th |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 01-Jan-02 | 84 | 122,019 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 01-Apr-02 | 95 | 123,088 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 01-Jul-02 | 106 | 123,893 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 01-Oct-02 | 132 | 124,430 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 01-Jan-03 | 157 | 125,422 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 01-Apr-03 | 199 | 125,752 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 01-Jul-03 | 277 | 126,264 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 01-Oct-03 | 341 | 126,344 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 01-Jan-04 | 431 | 126,393 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 01-Apr-04 | 532 | 126,270 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 01-Jul-04 | 610 | 126,044 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 01-Oct-04 | 730 | 125,496 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |

Table 16: Trends in median practice \% of CHD patients with warfarin contraindicators (persistent)

| Start of quarter | Total coronary heart <br> diseasepatients <br> warfarin contraindicators <br> (persistent) | All patients with CHD | Practice median \% of all <br> coronary heart disease patients <br> with warfarin contraindicators <br> (persistent) | 75th |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 50 | 122,019 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 01-Jan-02 | 53 | 123,088 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 01-Apr-02 | 123,893 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |
| 01-Jul-02 | 54 | 124,430 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 01-Oct-02 | 57 | 125,422 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 01-Jan-03 | 58 | 125,752 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 01-Apr-03 | 57 | 126,264 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 01-Jul-03 | 67 | 126,344 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 01-Oct-03 | 89 | 126,393 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 01-Jan-04 | 149 | 126,270 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 01-Apr-04 | 213 | 126,044 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 01-Jul-04 | 283 | 125,496 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |
| 01-Oct-04 | 345 |  |  | 0.0 |  |

Table 17: Trends in median practice \% CHD patients with warfarin contraindicators (expiring)

| Start of quarter | Total coronary heart <br> disease patients with with <br> warfarin contraindicators <br> (expiring) | All patients with CHD | Practice median \% of all <br> coronary heart disease patients <br> with warfarin contraindicators <br> (expiring) | 75th |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  |  | 0.0 |  |  |
| 01-Jan-02 | 190 | 122,019 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 01-Apr-02 | 262 | 123,088 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 01-Jul-02 | 361 | 123,893 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 01-Oct-02 | 519 | 124,430 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 01-Jan-03 | 761 | 125,422 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 01-Apr-03 | 976 | 125,752 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |
| 01-Jul-03 | 1,236 | 126,264 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |
| 01-Oct-03 | 1,568 | 126,344 | 0.6 | 0.0 |  |
| 01-Jan-04 | 3,121 | 126,393 | 1.3 | 0.0 |  |
| 01-Apr-04 | 5,594 | 126,270 | 1.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 01-Jul-04 | 8,270 | 126,044 | 2.7 | 0.0 |  |
| 01-Oct-04 | 10,483 | 125,496 | 0.0 |  |  |

Table 18: Trends in median practice \% CHD patients with salicylate contraindicators (persistent)

| Start of quarter | Totalcoronary <br> diseasepatients <br> salicylate contraindicators <br> (persistent) All patients with CHD | Practice median \% of all <br> coronary heart disease patients <br> with salicylate contraindicators <br> (persistent) | 75th |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  |  |  | 0.0 |
|  |  | 122,019 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 01-Jan-02 | 390 | 123,088 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 01-Apr-02 | 427 | 123,893 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 01-Jul-02 | 436 | 124,430 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 01-Oct-02 | 454 | 125,752 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 01-Jan-03 | 480 | 126,264 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 01-Apr-03 | 514 | 126,344 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 01-Jul-03 | 558 | 126,393 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 01-Oct-03 | 611 | 126,270 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 01-Jan-04 | 708 | 126,044 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 01-Apr-04 | 791 | 125,496 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 01-Jul-04 | 888 |  | 0.2 | 0.0 |
| 01-Oct-04 | 964 |  |  | 0.0 |

Table 19: Trends in median practice \% CHD patients with salicylate contraindicators (expiring)

| Start of quarter | Total coronary heart disease patients with salicylate contraindicators (expiring) | All patients with CHD | Practice median \% of all coronary heart disease patients with salicylate contraindicators (expiring) | 25th | 75th |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 01-Jan-02 | 6,050 | 122,019 | 2.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 01-Apr-02 | 6,842 | 123,088 | 3.0 | 0.3 | 0.3 |
| 01-Jul-02 | 7,554 | 123,893 | 3.7 | 0.8 | 0.8 |
| 01-Oct-02 | 8,280 | 124,430 | 4.3 | 1.0 | 1.0 |
| 01-Jan-03 | 9,166 | 125,422 | 5.2 | 1.3 | 1.3 |
| 01-Apr-03 | 10,028 | 125,752 | 5.8 | 1.8 | 1.8 |
| 01-Jul-03 | 10,831 | 126,264 | 6.6 | 2.3 | 2.3 |
| 01-Oct-03 | 11,674 | 126,344 | 7.4 | 3.1 | 3.1 |
| 01-Jan-04 | 13,052 | 126,393 | 9.1 | 4.2 | 4.2 |
| 01-Apr-04 | 14,213 | 126,270 | 9.8 | 5.4 | 5.4 |
| 01-Jul-04 | 15,428 | 126,044 | 11.2 | 6.8 | 6.8 |
| 01-Oct-04 | 16,442 | 125,496 | 12.2 | 7.4 | 7.4 |

Table 20: Trends in median practice \% CHD patients with clopidogrel contraindicators (persistent)

| Start of quarter | Total coronary heart disease patients with clopidogrel contraindicators (persistent) | All patients with CHD | Practice median \% of all coronary heart disease patients with clopidogrel contraindicators (persistent) | 25th | 75th |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 01-Jan-02 | 1 | 122,019 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 01-Apr-02 | 1 | 123,088 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 01-Jul-02 | 1 | 123,893 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 01-Oct-02 | 1 | 124,430 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 01-Jan-03 | 2 | 125,422 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 01-Apr-03 | 2 | 125,752 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 01-Jul-03 | 2 | 126,264 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 01-Oct-03 | 2 | 126,344 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 01-Jan-04 | 11 | 126,393 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 01-Apr-04 | 36 | 126,270 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 01-Jul-04 | 52 | 126,044 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 01-Oct-04 | 72 | 125,496 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |

Table 21: Trends in median practice \% CHD patients with clopidogrel contraindicators (expiring)

| Start of quarter | Total coronary heart disease patients with clopidogrel contraindicators (expiring) | All patients with CHD | Practice median \% of all coronary heart disease patients with clopidogrel contraindicators (expiring) | 25th | 75th |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 01-Jan-02 | 7 | 122,019 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 01-Apr-02 | 8 | 123,088 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 01-Jul-02 | 8 | 123,893 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 01-Oct-02 | 8 | 124,430 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 01-Jan-03 | 9 | 125,422 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 01-Apr-03 | 12 | 125,752 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 01-Jul-03 | 14 | 126,264 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 01-Oct-03 | 52 | 126,344 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 01-Jan-04 | 1,159 | 126,393 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 01-Apr-04 | 3,174 | 126,270 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 01-Jul-04 | 5,550 | 126,044 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 01-Oct-04 | 7,570 | 125,496 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |

Table 22: Trends in median practice \% CHD patients with beta blockers contraindicators (persistent)

| Start of quarter | Total coronary heart <br> disease patients with with <br> beta blockers <br> contraindicators <br> (persistent) | All patients with CHD | Practice median \% of all <br> coronary heart disease patients <br> blockers <br> bith <br> contraindicators (persistent) | 75th |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  |  |  | 0.0 |
|  |  |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 01-Jan-02 | 768 | 122,019 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 01-Apr-02 | 855 | 123,088 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 01-Jul-02 | 889 | 123,893 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 01-Oct-02 | 956 | 124,430 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 01-Jan-03 | 1,002 | 125,422 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 01-Apr-03 | 1,044 | 125,752 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 01-Jul-03 | 1,085 | 126,264 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 01-Oct-03 | 1,170 | 126,344 | 0.3 | 0.0 |
| 01-Jan-04 | 1,650 | 126,393 | 0.5 | 0.0 |
| 01-Apr-04 | 2,086 | 126,044 | 0.9 | 0.0 |
| 01-Jul-04 | 2,576 | 125,496 | 0.0 |  |
| 01-Oct-04 | 2,855 |  |  | 0.0 |

Table 23: Trends in median practice \% CHD patients with beta blockers contraindicators (expiring)

| Start of quarter | Total coronary heart disease patients with beta blockers contraindicators (expiring) | All patients with CHD | Practice median $\%$ of all coronary heart disease patients with beta blockers contraindicators (expiring) | 25th | 75th |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 01-Jan-02 | 459 | 122,019 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 01-Apr-02 | 565 | 123,088 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 01-Jul-02 | 651 | 123,893 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 01-Oct-02 | 818 | 124,430 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 01-Jan-03 | 1,090 | 125,422 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 01-Apr-03 | 1,407 | 125,752 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 01-Jul-03 | 1,953 | 126,264 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 01-Oct-03 | 2,568 | 126,344 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 01-Jan-04 | 3,678 | 126,393 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 01-Apr-04 | 5,096 | 126,270 | 1.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 01-Jul-04 | 6,763 | 126,044 | 2.3 | 0.4 | 0.4 |
| 01-Oct-04 | 8,134 | 125,496 | 3.2 | 0.8 | 0.8 |

Table 24: Trends in median practice \% CHD patients with ACE contraindicators (persistent)

| Start of quarter | Total coronary heart disease patients with ACE contraindications (persistent) | All patients with MI | Practice median $\%$ of all <br> coronary heart disease <br> patients with ACE <br> contraindications (persistent)   | 25th | 75th |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 01-Jan-02 | 16 | 122,019 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 01-Apr-02 | 18 | 123,088 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 01-Jul-02 | 21 | 123,893 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 01-Oct-02 | 27 | 124,430 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 01-Jan-03 | 38 | 125,422 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 01-Apr-03 | 46 | 125,752 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 01-Jul-03 | 52 | 126,264 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 01-Oct-03 | 73 | 126,344 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 01-Jan-04 | 193 | 126,393 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 01-Apr-04 | 295 | 126,270 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 01-Jul-04 | 403 | 126,044 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 01-Oct-04 | 463 | 125,496 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |

Table 25: Trends in practice median \% of CHD patients with ACE contraindications (expiring)

| Start of quarter | Total coronary heart disease patients with ACE contraindications (expiring) | All patients with CHD | Practice median \% of all  <br> coronary heart disease <br> patients with ACE <br> contraindications (expiring)   | 25th | 75th |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 01-Jan-02 | 244 | 122,019 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 01-Apr-02 | 347 | 123,088 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 01-Jul-02 | 462 | 123,893 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 01-Oct-02 | 692 | 124,430 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 01-Jan-03 | 1,059 | 125,422 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 01-Apr-03 | 1,397 | 125,752 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 01-Jul-03 | 1,889 | 126,264 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 01-Oct-03 | 2,570 | 126,344 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 01-Jan-04 | 4,023 | 126,393 | 1.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 |
| 01-Apr-04 | 5,793 | 126,270 | 2.1 | 0.6 | 0.6 |
| 01-Jul-04 | 7,482 | 126,044 | 2.8 | 1.1 | 1.1 |
| 01-Oct-04 | 8,998 | 125,496 | 3.7 | 1.5 | 1.5 |

Table 26: Trends in median practice \% CHD patients with A2 antagonist contraindicators (persistent)

| Start of quarter | Total coronary heart disease patients with with A2 antagonist contraindicators (persistent) | All patients with CHD | Practice median $\%$ of all coronary heart disease patients with <br> A2 antagonist contraindicators (persistent) | 25th | 75th |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 01-Jan-02 | 0 | 122,019 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 01-Apr-02 | 0 | 123,088 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 01-Jul-02 | 0 | 123,893 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 01-Oct-02 | 0 | 124,430 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 01-Jan-03 | 0 | 125,422 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 01-Apr-03 | 1 | 125,752 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 01-Jul-03 | 3 | 126,264 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 01-Oct-03 | 7 | 126,344 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 01-Jan-04 | 64 | 126,393 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 01-Apr-04 | 109 | 126,270 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 01-Jul-04 | 155 | 126,044 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 01-Oct-04 | 200 | 125,496 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |

Table 27: Trends in practice median \% of CHD patients with A2 antagonist contraindicators (expiring)

| Start of quarter | Total coronary heart disease patients with with A2 antagonist contraindicators (expiring) | All patients with CHD | Practice median \% of all coronary heart disease patients with A2 antagonist contraindicators (expiring) | 25th | 75th |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 01-Jan-02 | 6 | 122,019 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 01-Apr-02 | 7 | 123,088 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 01-Jul-02 | 9 | 123,893 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 01-Oct-02 | 10 | 124,430 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 01-Jan-03 | 13 | 125,422 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 01-Apr-03 | 16 | 125,752 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 01-Jul-03 | 34 | 126,264 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 01-Oct-03 | 77 | 126,344 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 01-Jan-04 | 960 | 126,393 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 01-Apr-04 | 2,533 | 126,270 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 01-Jul-04 | 4,242 | 126,044 | 0.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 01-Oct-04 | 5,794 | 125,496 | 0.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 |

Table 28: Trends in median practice \% CHD with exercise test decline code

| Start of quarter | Total coronary heart disease patients with exercise test decline code | All patients with CHD | Practice median $\%$ of all coronary heart disease patients with exercise test decline code | 25th | 75th |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 01-Jan-02 | 1 | 122,019 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 01-Apr-02 | 1 | 123,088 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 01-Jul-02 | 1 | 123,893 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 01-Oct-02 | 1 | 124,430 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 01-Jan-03 | 1 | 125,422 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 01-Apr-03 | 1 | 125,752 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 01-Jul-03 | 1 | 126,264 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 01-Oct-03 | 1 | 126,344 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 01-Jan-04 | 3 | 126,393 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 01-Apr-04 | 7 | 126,270 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 01-Jul-04 | 22 | 126,044 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 01-Oct-04 | 31 | 125,496 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |

Table 29: Trends in median practice \% CHD patients with flu vaccination contraindications (persistent)

| Start of quarter | Total coronary heart disease patients with flu vaccination contraindications (persistent) | All patients with CHD | Practice median \% of all coronary heart disease patients with flu vaccination contraindications (persistent) | 25th | 75th |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 01-Jan-02 | 7 | 122,019 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 01-Apr-02 | 8 | 123,088 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 01-Jul-02 | 9 | 123,893 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 01-Oct-02 | 8 | 124,430 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 01-Jan-03 | 9 | 125,422 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 01-Apr-03 | 9 | 125,752 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 01-Jul-03 | 10 | 126,264 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 01-Oct-03 | 18 | 126,344 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 01-Jan-04 | 21 | 126,393 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 01-Apr-04 | 30 | 126,270 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 01-Jul-04 | 48 | 126,044 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 01-Oct-04 | 74 | 125,496 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |

Table 30: Trends in median practice \% CHD patients with flu vaccination contraindications (expiring)

| Start of quarter | Total coronary heart disease patients with flu vaccination contraindications (expiring) | All patients with CHD | Practice median \% of all coronary heart disease patients with flu vaccination contraindications (expiring) | 25th | 75th |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 01-Jan-02 | 3,027 | 122,019 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 01-Apr-02 | 3,030 | 123,088 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 01-Jul-02 | 3,125 | 123,893 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 01-Oct-02 | 4,235 | 124,430 | 1.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 01-Jan-03 | 4,376 | 125,422 | 1.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 01-Apr-03 | 4,366 | 125,752 | 1.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 01-Jul-03 | 4,592 | 126,264 | 1.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 01-Oct-03 | 7,187 | 126,344 | 4.6 | 0.9 | 0.9 |
| 01-Jan-04 | 7,913 | 126,393 | 5.1 | 1.3 | 1.3 |
| 01-Apr-04 | 8,153 | 126,270 | 5.2 | 1.7 | 1.7 |
| 01-Jul-04 | 8,937 | 126,044 | 5.8 | 2.9 | 2.9 |
| 01-Oct-04 | 12,547 | 125,496 | 9.2 | 5.7 | 5.7 |

Table 31: Trends in median practice \% CHD patients with BP recording exception codes in 15/12

| Start of quarter | Total coronary heart <br> disease patients with BP <br> recording exception codes <br> in 15/12 | All patients with CHD | Practice median \% of all <br> coronary heart disease patients <br> with BP recording exception <br> codes in 15/12 | 75th |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  |  |  | 0.0 |
|  |  | 122,019 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 01-Jan-02 | 0 | 123,088 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 01-Apr-02 | 123,893 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |
| 01-Jul-02 | 0 | 124,430 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 01-Oct-02 | 125,422 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |
| 01-Jan-03 | 0 | 125,752 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 01-Apr-03 | 0 | 126,264 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 01-Jul-03 | 0 | 126,344 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 01-Oct-03 | 0 | 126,393 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 01-Jan-04 | 0 | 126,270 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 01-Apr-04 | 0 | 126,044 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 01-Jul-04 | 0 | 125,496 | 0.0 |  |
| 01-Oct-04 | 0 |  |  | 0.0 |

Table 32: Trends in median practice \% CHD patients with maximal antihypertensive therapy

| Start of quarter | Total coronary heart disease patients with maximal antihypertensive therapy | All patients with CHD | Practice median \% of all coronary heart disease patients with maximal antihypertensive therapy | 25th | 75th |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 01-Jan-02 | 1 | 122,019 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 01-Apr-02 | 1 | 123,088 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 01-Jul-02 | 2 | 123,893 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 01-Oct-02 | 2 | 124,430 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 01-Jan-03 | 4 | 125,422 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 01-Apr-03 | 6 | 125,752 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 01-Jul-03 | 13 | 126,264 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 01-Oct-03 | 48 | 126,344 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 01-Jan-04 | 396 | 126,393 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 01-Apr-04 | 863 | 126,270 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 01-Jul-04 | 1,215 | 126,044 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 01-Oct-04 | 1,551 | 125,496 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 |

